THERMOGRAVIMETRIC AND INFRARED STUDIES OF TETRAMERIC ZIRCONIUM(IV) COMPOUNDS DERIVED FROM OXOZIRCONIUM(IV) CHLORIDE AND IMIDAZOLE, MORPHOLINE AND THEIR DERIVATIVES

C.R. PANDA, V. CHAKRAVORTTY and K.C. DASH *

Department of Chemistry, Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar 751 004 (India) (Received 23 April 1986)

ABSTRACT

Oxozirconium chloride reacts with imidazole, morpholine and their derivatives in acetone medium in the presence of triethylorthoformate to form white amorphous compounds with a high melting point. IR and thermogravimetric measurements as well as analytical data indicate their polymeric formulation in the solid state where the structure is derived from the basic tetrameric dodecahedral structure of the parent $ZrOCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ formulated as $[Zr_4(OH)_8(H_2O)_{16}]Cl_8$. The unidentate imidazole or morpholine ligands appear to replace some of the coordinated H_2O molecules and deprotonate some other H_2O molecules forming compounds of the type $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{12-n}L_n]Cl_4$ where n=1 for L=1-MeIm, 1-EtIm, 1-ViIm, 2-iPrIm, 2-PhIm; n=2 for L = Im, 2-MeIm, 4-MeMorph and n=4 for L = Morph. Thermogravimetric studies indicate the stepwise loss of water molecules and the ligands forming complex intermediates with increase in temperature. The stoichiometry of decomposition products is calculated. Based on initial decomposition temperature, an order of thermal stability for the complexes is proposed.

INTRODUCTION

The chemistry of zirconium(IV) is of interest in view of the fact that this ion may form [1] a wide variety of complexes with coordination numbers 4 to 8, and 12. The geometry and coordination number around Zr in these compounds appear to be determined by various factors, such as the nature of the donor atoms, the charge on the ligand, the stoichiometry of the reactants in the preparative process, the size and the chelating ability of the ligands, vapour pressure, temperature and other physical characteristics during compound formation. The strongly bound oxygen(s) of various oxo metal compounds of the types MO^{n+} and MO_2^{n+} found among the early transition elements of groups IV, V and VI provide additional means for studying the complexes of these oxycations, beyond those normally available for the study of transition metal complexes. Selbin and Holmes [2] have observed the metal-oxygen multiple bond stretching frequency in the range

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

900–1100 cm⁻¹ for MoO³⁺, MoO²⁺₂, WO²⁺₂, VO²⁺ and UO²⁺₂ but found no evidence for TiO²⁺ or ZrO²⁺ mononuclear species in certain so-called titanyl or zirconyl compounds. Some polymeric form of zirconium(IV) seems to predominate both in solution and in the solid state. This idea receives support from NMR measurements of hydration numbers in solution [3] and the X-ray crystal structure [4,5] of ZrOCl₂ · 8H₂O in the solid state, which is actually [Zr₄(OH)₈(H₂O)₁₆]Cl₈. The zirconium atoms in this compound lie in a distorted square, linked by pairs of hydroxo bridges and also bound to water molecules, so that the Zr atom is coordinated by eight oxygen atoms in a distorted dodecahedral arrangement (D_{2d}) with Zr–OH (bridging) of 214.2 pm and Zr–OH₂ (terminal) of 227.2 pm.

In spite of this convincing proof, a number of workers have reported that $ZrOCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ forms monomeric complexes with various ligands. Thus, $ZrOCl_2$ was shown to form [6] 1:1 addition compounds with pyridine derivatives, quinoline, isoquinoline, Me₂NPh and Et₂NPh; 1:2 complexes with DMF, AcNH₂, AcNHMe, AcNMe₂, BzNH₂ and 1:4 complexes with HCONH₂ and urea. Benzimidazole and its derivatives also form [7] 1:2 and 1:4 adducts with ZrOCl₂. Monomeric complexes of pyridine-*N*-oxide [8] and quinoline-*N*-oxide [9] with zirconyl perchlorate were also reported. A number of monomeric zirconyl complexes with 1, 10-phenanthroline-*N*-oxide (phen-NO) were also reported [10] where the stoichiometric formulae are of the type [ZrO(phenNO)X₂] (X = Cl, Br, NCS, NO₃), [ZrO(phenNO)₂]I₂ and [ZrO(PhenNO)₃](ClO₄)₂. In all these compounds a weak IR band at 950–980 cm⁻¹ was invariably assigned to ν (Zr=O). This assignment is surprising in view of the fact that there is no evidence for the existence of a Zr=O group in oxozirconium(IV) compounds.

Continuing our earlier work on imidazoles [11–15] and in view of the interesting chemistry of oxozirconium(IV) compounds, we chose to study the interaction of oxozirconium(IV) chloride with imidazole or morpholine ligands under anhydrous conditions with the hope of obtaining simple, monomeric, addition complexes, as reported in the literature [6–10]. Our investigations show that the complexes synthesised are far from simple and differ considerably from the literature reports. The complexes seem to have a polymeric structure that is derived from the tetrameric structure $[Zr_4(OH)_8(H_2O)_{16}]^{8+}$ [4,5] with each Zr atom having a coordination number of eight.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

 $ZrOCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ (Riedel) was reagent grade and used as supplied. Imidazole, morpholine and their derivatives were used as supplied by BASF, Ludwigshafen, West Germany.

TABLE 1

Analytical data for oxozirconium complexes

Complex	Empirical formula	Mol. wt.	Element (%)	found (calc.)				
			Zr	С	Н	z	CI	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(Im)_2]C1_4$	$Zr_4C_6H_{40}N_4O_{22}Cl_4$	1027.05	35.4 (35.5)	6.9 (7.0)	3.7 (3.9)	5.0 (5.4)	13.9 (13.8)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(1-MeIm)]Cl_4$	$Zr_4C_4H_{40}N_2O_{23}Cl_4$	10.166	36.9 (36.8)	5.0 (4.8)	3.9 (4.0)	2.7 (2.8)	14.1 (14.3)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(1-EtIm)]Cl_4$	$Zr_4C_5H_{42}N_2O_{23}Cl_4$	1005.04	36.5 (36.3)	5.7 (5.9)	4.0 (4.2)	2.6 (2.8)	14.3 (14.1)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(1-ViIm)]Cl_4$	$Zr_4C_5H_{40}N_2O_{23}Cl_4$	1003.02	36.0 (36.3)	5.9 (6.0)	4.0 (4.0)	2.8 (2.8)	13.9 (14.1)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10} (2-MeIm)_2]Cl_4$	$Zr_4C_8H_{44}N_4O_{22}Cl_4$	1055.09	34.9 (34.5)	9.2 (9.1)	3.9 (4.2)	5.0 (5.3)	13.5 (13.4)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(2-iPrIm)]CI_4$	Zr ₄ C ₆ H ₄₄ N ₂ O ₂₃ Cl ₄	1019.06	35.6 (35.8)	7.0 (7.0)	4.1 (4.3)	2.8 (2.7)	14.1 (13.9)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(2-PhIm)]Cl_4$	$Zr_4C_9H_{42}N_2O_{23}Cl_4$	1053.09	34.2 (34.6)	10.0 (10.2)	4.1 (4.0)	2.4 (2.6)	13.0 (13.4)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_8(Morph)_4]Cl_4$	$Zr_4C_{16}H_{64}N_4O_{24}Cl_4$	1203.29	30.2 (30.3)	16.0 (15.9)	5.2 (5.3)	4.2 (4.6)	11.9 (11.8)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(4-MeMorph)_2]CI$	$_{4} \operatorname{Zr}_{4} \operatorname{C}_{10} \operatorname{H}_{54} \operatorname{N}_{2} \operatorname{O}_{24} \operatorname{Cl}_{4}$	1093.15	33.1 (33.3)	10.7 (10.9)	5.0 (4.9)	2.6 (2.5)	13.0 (12.9)	

Complex	µ(0H)	8(Zr-O-H)	H-0-H	Ligand ba	ands ^a				
			def.	<i>ν</i> (C-H)	Ring	Ring	C-H	C-H	Ring
					str.	C-H bend + C-N str.	in-plane def.	out-of-plane def.	def.
tmiderate				3030m	1 \$ \$ () m	17786	1101	9 09 L	6640
				2934m	1492m	14103	10585	740m	620s
					1451m				2
[Zr ₄ (OH) ₈ (H,O) ₁₆]Cl ₈	3400br		1630br, sh	ł	I		1	ł	I
[Zr ₄ (OH) ₁ , (H ₂ O) ₁₀ (Im),]Cl ₄	3400br	1100m	1620sh	2880sh	1580s	1320m	1070m	760br	660w
				2820m	1500sh	1260m	1050s	690w	625m
					1440br				
[Zr ₄ (OH) ₁₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₁ (1-MeIm)]Cl ₄	3400br	1115m	1625sh	2910m	1565s	1285s	1090m	750br	650s
				2870sh	1510sh	1250w	1070m	700 m	635w
					1430m				
[Zr ₄ (OH) ₁ ,(H,O) ₁ ,(1-EtIm)]Cl ₄	3400br	1110m	1610sh	3015m	1585s	1290m	1080m	780s	670m
				3005sh	1490m	1260m	1040s	730m	620m
					1460sh				
[Zr ₄ (OH) ₁₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₁ (1-ViIm)]Cl ₄	3400br	1100m	1620sh	3025m	1590m	1275s	1085w	770br	665s
				2990m	1490m		1065s	715m	610m
					1445m				
[Zr ₄ (OH) ₁ ,(H,O) ₁₀ (2-MeIm) ₂]Cl ₄	3400br	1120m	1615sh	3010m	1575s	1280s	1105w	790br	655s
				2920sh	1505m	1260w	1055m	720w	620s
[Zr ₄ (OH) ₁₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₁ (2-iPrIm)]Cl ₄	3400br	1115m	1615sh	2980m	1500s	1310s	1085w	780s	680m
				2915sh	1465m	1265w	1030s	745w	610m
					1420m				
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(2-PhIm)]Cl_4$	3400br	1110m	1625sh	3000m	1530s	1300s	1095w	795s	690s
				2930sh	1490sh		1060s	730m	630m
					1420m				
^a $\nu(N-H)$ of ligand is obscured und	der the bro	oad and stron	g v(O-H) of	the zirconi	um tetran	ler.			

Prominent IR bands (cm⁻¹) of some oxozirconium complexes

TABLE 2

Analyses

The C, H and N microanalyses were either from CDRI, Lucknow or from Technical University, Munich. Zirconium was determined gravimetrically as ZrO_2 after slow decomposition of complexes with HNO₃ and then heating at 1000°C in an electrical muffle furnace up to constant weight. The chloride content was estimated by decomposition of the complex by oxidative fusion with Na₂O₂ and NaOH followed by extraction with water, acidification by dilute HNO₃ and then precipitation as AgCl by addition of AgNO₃. The analytical data are presented in Table 1.

IR measurements

The IR spectra of the complexes were recorded as KBr disk (or polyethylene pellets) either in the 4000-400 cm⁻¹ region using a Perkin Elmer 377 instrument or in the 4000-200 cm⁻¹ region using a Perkin Elmer 983 instrument. The important IR frequencies are presented in Table 2 and IR spectra of $[Zr_4(OH)_8(H_2O)_{16}]Cl_8$ and $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(Im)_2]Cl_4$ are shown in Fig. 1.

Thermogravimetric measurements

Thermal measurements in static air were carried out using a Netzsch simultaneous thermal analyser (model 429) fitted with a potentiometric recorder. The equipment records T, TG and DTA simultaneously. The rate of heating was 10° C min⁻¹. The thermal parameters for the decomposition of the complexes are shown in Table 3. Figures 2 and 3 show TG and DTA curves for $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(Im)_2]Cl_4$ and $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(1-ViIm)]Cl_4$, respectively.



Fig. 1. IR spectra of: zirconyl octahydrate, $[Zr_4(OH)_8(H_2O)_{16}]Cl_8(---)$; oxozirconium-(IV) imidazole complex $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(Im)_2]Cl_4(---)$.

Starting material	Initial	TG data			Species formed	DTA
	decomposition	Temp.	Weight	loss (%)		peak
	temp. (C)	range (°C)	Obs.	Calc.		
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(Im)_2]Cl_4 (mol. wt. = .$	1027.05; $Im = Imia$	lazole (mol. w	t = 68.07			e validatione a marca anno anno anno ann ann ann
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(Im)_2]Cl_4$	30	30108	12.01	12.26	$Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_3(Im)_2CI_4$	120(endo)
$Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_3(Im)_2CI_4$		108-196	19.11	21.12	$Zr_4O_2(OH)_8(Im)_2CI_4$,
$Zr_4O_2(OH)_8(Im)_2CI_4$		196-310	32.75	32.91	$Zr_4O_4(OH)_4(Im)_{0.75}Cl_4$	270(exo)
$Zr_4O_4(OH)_4(Im)_{0.75}Cl_4$		310-416	39.31	41.38	Zr ₄ O ₆ Cl ₄	365(exo)
Zr₄O ₆ Cl ₆		416-592	43.68	44.8	Zr40, Cl3	520(exo)
						580(endo)
Zr₄0, Cl₃		592-680	46.41	47.76	$Zr_4O_6Cl_2$	
[Zr4(OH)12(H2O)11(I-MeIm)]Cl4 (mol. w	ıt. = 991.01); I-MeIn	n = I-Methyl	imidazole (mol. wt. =	82.09)	
[Zr ₄ (OH) ₁₂ (H ₂ O) ₁₁ (1-MeIm)]Cl ₄	30	30 - 100	12.09	12.79	$Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_4(1-MeIm)Cl_4$	
Zr4(OH)12(H2O)4(1-MeIm)CI4		100-155	21.77	21.89	Zr ₄ O(OH) ₁₀ (1-MeIm)Cl ₄	130(endo)
Zr ₄ O(OH) ₁₀ (1-MeIm)Cl ₄		155-300	33.06	33.55	Zr ₄ O ₄ (OH) ₄ (1-MeIm) _{0.25} Cl ₄	
$Zr_4O_4(OH)_4(1-MeIm)_{0.25}CI_4$		300-470	46.77	46.41	Zr406Cl2	420(exo)
						470(exo)
$Zr_4O_6Cl_2$		470800	50.00	50.35	$4(\mathbf{ZrO}_2)$	520(endo)

Thermal decomposition parameters of oxozirconium complexes

TABLE 3

308

$[Zr_4(OH)_{1,2}(H_2O)_{1,1}(1-ViIm)]Cl_4 (mol. wt. = 1003.02); 1-ViIm$	= I-Vinyl im	idazole (m	ol. wt. = 9	4.10)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(1-ViIm)]Cl_4$ 45	45-146	17.85	17.94	$Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)(1-V_1Im)Cl_4$	120(endo)
Zr4(OH)12(H2O)(1-ViIm)CI4	146-373	35.71	36.39	$Zr_4O_4(OH)_4CI_4$	
Zr404(0H)4C14	373-520	47.60	47.05	Zr₄O ₆ Cl ₂	410(exo)
					490(exo)
Zr40,Cl2	520-800	50.00	50.94	$4(ZrO_2)$	556(endo)
					/00(ex0) 790(endo)
[Zr ₄ (OH) ₁ ,(H,O) ₁₁ (2-1PrIm)]Cl ₄ (mol. wt. = 1019.06); 2-1PrIn	n = 2-isoProp	yl imidazo	ile (mol. w	1. = 110.14)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(2-iPrIm)]Cl_4$ 30	30-200	19.19	19.43	$Zr_4(OH)_{12}(2-iPrIm)Cl_4$	
Zr ₄ (OH) ₁₂ (2-iPrIm)Cl ₄	200-448	38.84	37.38	Zr ₄ O ₄ (OH) ₄ Cl ₄	440(endo)
$Zr_4O_4(OH)_4CI_4$	448600	41.71	40.92	Zr₄O ₆ Cl₄	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{1,3}(H_2O)_{1,1}(2-PhIm)]Cl_4 (mol. wt. = 1053.09); 2-PhIm$	1 = 2-Phenyl 1	midazole ('mol. wt. =	144.17)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(2-PhIm)]Cl_4$ 30	30-210	18.78	18.80	$Zr_4(OH)_{12}(2-PhIm)Cl_4$	
$Zr_4(OH)_{12}(2-PhIm)CI_4$	210-270	34.53	35.99	$Zr_4O_2(OH)_8CI_4$	
$Zr_4O_2(OH)_8CI_4$	270-600	43.17	42.83	Zr ₄ O ₆ Cl ₄	480(endo)
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(4-MeMorph)_2]Cl_4 (mol. wt. = 1093.15); 4-$	-MeMorph =	4-Methyl 1	norpholin	? (mol. wt. = 101.12)	
$[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(4-MeMorph)_2]Cl_2$ 55	55-170	18.48	18.11	Zr ₄ O(OH) ₁₀ (4-MeMorph) ₂ Cl ₄	120(endo)
Zr ₄ O(OH) ₁₀ (4-MeMorph) ₂ Cl ₄	170 - 320	31.90	31.40	$Zr_4O_2(OH)_8(4-MeMorph)_{0.75}Cl_4$	350(exo)
Zr ₄ O ₂ (OH) ₈ (4-MeMorph) ₀₇₅ Cl ₄	320-750	42.0	44.92	Zr ₄ O ₆ Cl ₄	470(exo)



Fig. 2. TG and DTA curves for $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(Im)_2]Cl_4$.

Synthesis of complexes

The complexes synthesised were of the type $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{12-n}L_n]Cl_4$ and were prepared by the reaction of $ZrOCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ and the ligand taken in the appropriate stoichiometric ratio by a general method. A typical method of synthesis is detailed below for the imidazole complex.



Fig. 3. TG and DTA curves for $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(1-ViIm)]Cl_4$.

 $ZrOCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ tetramer, 0.642 g (2 mmol), was taken in a mixture of dry acetone (5 ml) and triethylorthoformate (5 ml) and stirred vigorously for 30 min, and then cooled in an ice-bath for 15 min with stirring. To this cooled solution was added imidazole (0.272 g, 4 mmol) in acetone (5 ml) with continuous stirring. The clear solution slowly turned turbid and in about 2–3 min a powdery white compound separated out. The stirring was continued for a further 60 min in order to ensure completion of the reaction. This compound was then slowly brought to room temperature and filtered through a glass sintered frit, washed repeatedly with acetone followed by small aliquots of ether and dried in vacuo.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All complexes reported in this paper were prepared by the interaction of $ZrOCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ in acetone (to which triethylorthoformate was added for dehydration) and the ligands in ice-cold conditions. In some cases, the compounds were formed almost immediately and for others they appeared after stirring for some time. All the compounds synthesized are reported in Table 1 along with their characterising data. They are all white and amorphous with a melting point greater than 250°C. Detailed and repeated analysis of the analytical data provide no evidence for the formation of simple, monomeric adducts with differing M: L ratios. The analytical data of these complexes agreed very well with the formula which was based on the structure [4,5] of tetrameric $[Zr_4(OH)_8(H_2O)_{16}]Cl_8$ (I) with double hydroxo bridges between each adjacent pair of Zr atoms. The analytical data show the Zr: Cl ratio to be 4:4 and not 4:8 as in $[Zr_4(OH)_8(H_2O)_{16}]Cl_8$



which shows that at some stage of complexation, four water molecules are deprotonated to give four extra hydroxo groups which are bonded terminally forming compounds of the type $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{12}]Cl_4$ which presumably

has a tetrameric structure with eight coordination around each Zr atom. This compound may be formulated as $[Zr_4(OH)_8^b(OH)_4^t(H_2O)_{12}]Cl_4$ (II). From this basic structure either 1, 2 or 4 water molecules are replaced by an appropriate number of neutral ligands (imidazole, morpholine or their derivatives), forming the observed complexes $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{12-n}L_n]Cl_4$ where n = 1, 2, or 4. The formation of eight-coordinate complexes with Zr-OH-Zr bridges is as expected for a moderately large cation (ionic radius of $Zr^{4+} = 74$ pm) with a rather high charge number.

Based upon the observation that the $[H^+]$ of $ZrOCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ (1 mmol) in acetone + triethylorthoformate (TEOF) was 1.7 M, the pH of imidazole (2 mmol) in acetone was 8.4, and after complexation and separation of the coordination complex the pH of the solution was 4.4 (the usual corrections were made for pH determination in non-aqueous solvents); the reaction then presumably proceeds in the sequence

$$\begin{bmatrix} Zr_4(OH)_8(H_2O)_{16} \end{bmatrix} Cl_8 \xrightarrow[(TEOF)]{} Cl_4 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{12} \end{bmatrix} Cl_4 + 4HCl \\ \begin{bmatrix} Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{12} \end{bmatrix} Cl_4 + nL \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{12-n}L_n \end{bmatrix} Cl_4 + nH_2O \end{bmatrix}$$

Zaitsev [16] has proved on chemical grounds that three distinguishable hydroxides of Zr can be obtained where the four-membered Zr atoms of the following three types may exist: (a) the freshly prepared α -hydroxide formulated as $Zr_4(OH)_8^b(OH)_8^t(H_2O)_r$, with adjacent pairs of Zr atoms joined by a double hydroxo bridge, (b) the β -hydroxide, $Zr_4O_2(OH)_4^b(OH)_8^t(H_2O)_{10}$ where the Zr atoms are joined alternatively by double hydroxo and oxobridges, and (c) the γ -hydroxide, $Zr_4O_4(OH)_8^t(H_2O)_2$ where the Zr atoms are joined only by oxo-bridges. The β - and γ -hydroxides were obtained by ageing of the α -hydroxide. No structural evidence in favour of the proposed structures were provided. However, the structure of the α -form, $Zr_4(OH)_8^b(OH)_8^t$ (H₂O)_x is reasonable on the basis of the structure of $ZrOCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ which is $[Zr_4(OH)_8(H_2O)_{16}]^{8+}$ (I). The compounds synthesised in our work are believed to be intermediate between the $[Zr_4(OH)_8]$ $(H_2O)_{16}]^{8+}$ and the α -hydroxide, $Zr_4(OH)_8^b(OH)_8^t(H_2O)_{\gamma}$ in that they contain four chloride ions in addition to the ligands joined to the Zr atoms in place of either 1, 2 or 4 H₂O molecules. These complexes are highly insoluble in common organic solvents, precluding the measurement of conductivities and molecular weight. A comparative analysis of the IR spectra in the range 4000-200 cm⁻¹ for the parent $ZrOCl_2 \cdot 8H_2O$ and the synthesised complexes are identical to each other except for the extra bands obtained in the latter cases corresponding to the various vibrational modes of the ligand. In none of the cases did we find a band in the 835-925 cm⁻¹ region corresponding to $\nu(Zr=0)$, as has been observed by some workers [17–19], indicating clearly the absence of the ZrO^{2+} moiety.

In these complexes, a band is located in the range 1100–1115 cm⁻¹ due to δ (Zr-O-H) [20,21] favouring the double hydroxo-bridge structure as pro-

posed. The broad but strong band centred at 3400 cm⁻¹ in all the complexes is assigned [22,23] to the $\nu(OH)$ of both the coordinated water and the coordinated (bridging or terminal) hydroxo groups. The bending mode, $\delta(OH)$, for the water molecule appears at 1615–1630 cm⁻¹ in all the complexes, whereas for the bridged hydroxo groups [20–24] they are at 1100–1115 cm⁻¹ and for the terminal hydroxo groups at 920 cm⁻¹ as a weak to medium intensity band. The $\nu(Zr-O)$ appears in the range 220–300 cm⁻¹ for the coordinated H₂O, terminal hydroxo and bridged hydroxo groups [25]. In addition, all important bands of the ligands are also seen for these complexes (Fig. 1, Table 2), and are also appropriately assigned [26].

The thermal decomposition of the complexes was studied in the temperature range of 30 to ca. 700°C. From the weight loss the stoichiometries of the resultant intermediate species are assigned. They seem to be nonstoichiometric species. These compounds lose coordinated H₂O in several steps, followed by the loss of OH (in multiples of two OH as H₂O molecules, leaving one oxygen at a time attached to the Zr atom), L and some of the Cl⁻ ions. In most cases investigated up to about the end of the thermal decomposition at ca. 600°C, no evidence was found for the formation of ZrO₂ as the end product. However, in some cases at still higher temperatures ZrO₂ seems to be formed. Somes workers [27-29] have reported the formation of ZrO₂ at around 500°C from the so-called monomeric complexes of ZrOCl, with 2-methylaminopyridine-N-oxide, dibenzylsulphoxide, 4-cyanopyridine, etc. and they also assign a weak band at 970-980 cm⁻¹ to ν (Zr=O). These results seem somewhat unconvincing in view of the known tetrameric structures of the so-called zirconyl complexes. It is also reasonable to expect ZrO_2 to be formed at higher temperatures in view of the fact that the Zr content in complexes is estimated as ZrO_2 by ignition of several zirconyl salts at around 1000°C [30]. Considering the stoichiometry of the intermediate species formed during thermal decomposition processes, the following general mechanism seems to hold good.

$$Zr_{4}(OH)_{12}(H_{2}O)_{12-n}L_{n}Cl_{4} \xrightarrow{A} Zr_{4}(OH)_{12}L_{n}Cl_{4}$$
$$\xrightarrow{B} Zr_{4}O_{6}Cl_{4} \xrightarrow{C} Zr_{4}O_{6}Cl_{2} \xrightarrow{D} ZrO_{7}$$

However, it is seen that in some cases the decomposition process proceeds up to stage B forming $Zr_4O_6Cl_4$, in some others up to stage C forming $Zr_4O_6Cl_2$ and in two cases it proceeds up to the end (stage D) forming ZrO_2 as the ultimate end product. Evidence for the formation of halogenated zirconium oxide species such as $Zr_4O_6Cl_4$ or $Zr_4O_6Cl_2$ is available in the literature [31]. Considering the initial decomposition temperature as a rough guide for determining the thermal stability of complexes, the following stability order is proposed for the complexes investigated: $[Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(1-MeIm)]Cl_4 < [Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{10}(Im)_2]Cl_4 \approx [Zr_4(OH)_{12}(H_2O)_{11}(H$ (2-iPrIm)]Cl₄ \approx [Zr₄(OH)₁₂(H₂O)₁₁(2-PhIm)](Cl₄ < [Zr₄(OH)₁₂(H₂O)₁₁-(1-ViIm)]Cl₄ < [Zr₄(OH)₁₂(H₂O)₁₀(4-MeMorph)₂]Cl₄.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the CSIR, New Delhi for financial support. KCD thanks the UGC, New Delhi for a National Fellowship. The kind co-operation of Prof. M.R. Udupa, I.I.T., Madras, in getting the thermal curves recorded is gratefully acknowledged. The authors appreciate the valuable suggestions provided by Prof. R.C. Fay of Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, during the course of the work.

REFERENCES

- 1 T.E. MacDermott, Coord. Chem. Rev., 11 (1973) 1.
- 2 J. Selbin and L.H. Holmes, Jr., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 24 (1962) 1111.
- 3 A. Fratiollo, G.A. Vidalich and F. Nako, Inorg. Chem., 12 (1973) 470.
- 4 A. Clearfield and P.A. Vaughan, Acta Crystallogr., 9 (1956) 555.
- 5 T.C.W. Mak, Can. J. Chem., 46 (1968) 3493.
- 6 R.C. Paul, A.K. Moudgill, S.L. Chadha and S.K. Vasisht, Indian J. Chem., 8 (1970) 1017.
- 7 N.S. Biradar and A.L. Locker, Curr. Sci., 43 (1974) 5761.
- 8 P. Ramamurthy and C.C. Patel, Can J. Chem., 42 (1964) 856.
- 9 V. Krishnan and C.C. Patel, Can. J. Chem., 44 (1966) 972.
- 10 A.K. Srivastava, R.K. Agarwal, M. Srivastava and T.N. Srivastava, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 43 (1981) 2144.
- 11 P. Pujari and K.C. Dash, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 38 (1976) 2183.
- 12 Ch. K.C. Mohapatra and K.C. Dash, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 39 (1977) 1253.
- 13 G. Roy Chaudhury and K.C. Dash, Transition Met. Chem., 2 (1977) 253.
- 14 S.N. Das and K.C. Dash, Transition Met. Chem., 4 (1979) 32.
- 15 J.K. Das and K.C. Dash, Polyhedron, 4 (1985) 1109.
- 16 L.M. Zaitsev, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 11 (1966) 900.
- 17 A. Syamal and D. Kumar, Indian J. Chem., 199 (1980) 1018.
- 18 A.K. Srivastava, R.K. Agarwal and M. Srivastava, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 43 (1981) 2144.
- 19 Yu. Ya. Kharitonov, L.M. Zaitsev, G.S. Bochkarev and O.N. Evstaf'eva, Zh. Neorg. Khim., 9 (1964) 1617.
- 20 K. Nakamoto, Infra-Red Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds 2nd edn., Wiley, New York, 1970.
- 21 K. Nakamoto, J. Fujita and Y. Morimoto, Kagaku No Ryoiki, Zokan, 54 (1963) 55.
- 22 D. Scargill, J. Chem. Soc., (1961) 4444.
- 23 C.J. Hardy, B.O. Field and D. Scargill, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 28 (1966) 2408.
- 24 Yu. Ya. Kharitonov and L.M. Zaitsev, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 13 (1968) 476.
- 25 K.C. Malhotra, A. Kumar and S.C. Chaudhury, Indian J. Chem. A, 18 (1979) 423.
- 26 D. Garfunkel and J.T. Edsall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80 (1958) 3807.
- 27 R.K. Agarwal and H.K. Rawat, Thermochim. Acta, 90 (1985) 361.
- 28 R.K. Agarwal, P. Kumar and H.K. Rawat, Thermochim. Acta, 88 (1985) 397.

- 29 R.K. Agarwal, G. Singh and S.C. Rastogi, Thermochim. Acta, 84 (1985) 183.
- 30 A.I. Vogel, A. Text Book of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis, 3rd edn., Longmans, London, 1961.
- 31 J.W. Mellor, A Comprehensive Treatise on Inorganic and Theoretical Chemistry, Vol. VII, Longmans, London, 1963.